



Robin Flanagan/Psy/WCSU

11/30/2005 02:36 PM


To Tara Kuther/Psy/WCSU@WCSU

cc

bcc

Subject CUCAS response to academic dishonesty policy

History:

 This message has been forwarded.

Hi, Tara.

Here is an excerpt from the minutes of our last meeting. The bottom line is that we support the proposal and have some concerns.

III.a. *Senate request for CUCAS to review a proposed Academic Dishonesty Policy.* Comments included: (1) several members were in favor of the policy as written; (2) A. Markert said that several members of his department were opposed to the proposal as being an unnecessary additional administrative and paperwork burden and that students have adequate due process under the existing grade appeal procedures; (3) I. Duffy questioned how a withdrawal hold would be administered by the Registrar's office; (4) R. Flanagan raised her department's concern that the dean's ad hoc committee may disagree with the decision reached and that there was a significant amount of paperwork involved; (5) E. Reed said that five days is not enough time for a student to respond to the accusation; and (6) it appears from section 2.1.2 of the proposed policy that the policy is required to be invoked in all cases where academic dishonesty is suspected. There should be a provision providing for faculty discretion not to invoke the policy.

A motion was made to support the proposal and for the CUCAS chair to communicate our comments and support to the University Senate Chair (Petkanas/Vaden-Goad). Approved with one opposed and one abstention.

Robin Flanagan  
Department of Psychology  
Western Connecticut State University  
Danbury, CT 06810  
(203)837-8471  
"Psych Matters"